

G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

Calms: 48-11/2-3/7 Sept 10.

6 to 7-11/1-5, 7, 10/

6 to 13-10/1-4/

Later references:

1-5

(leowets)

65 7-11 Serial, 16618

File No. 7-11

Serial Nos. 9, 11-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9, 11-15

Part B. for: The Secretary 1912

SUBJECT.

Increments to the officials of the
Chief Clerk.

- From
9) The Registrar, G. Govt. no: 1732/111 of 24.10.11
Requests that the officials in question may be
given the pay shown against each -
- To
11) Do no: 31692 of 27.11.11
calls for certain information
- From
12) Do no: 2333/187 of 2-12-11
Annexes the above.
- To
13) Do no: 31960 of 5-1-12

G.R.S. 58,000-7-1-07

Informing that there is no objection to the
subprotem arrangements made by the G. Govt.

14,

the Registrar, b.bourt - no: 2874/215-8/20.1.12

Requests that the Comptroller may be
requested to pass the pay of the Officials in
question -

To

15)

- 1) the Registrar -
 - 2) the Comptroller -
- } ; 2209-10 & 3.2.12

Sanctions the recommendation of
the Chief Clerk

Conclusion.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

Ct File No. 7-11.

FILE HEADING.

Increments to the officials of the
Chief Court.

From

The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. $\frac{1732}{141}$ Dated 24-10-11 Received 27-10-11.

Diary No. 1100.

Enclos. nil Spare Copies.

Serial No. 9

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that the Compr may be instructed to pass Rs. 45, 40, 30 and 25 respectively to Srinivasulu Naidu, Narasimha Murthi Rao, Rappapala Iyengar and Khobala Sastri, pending their confirmation in the vacancy of Mr. Suryanarayana Sastri. ~~now~~ Personal Assist to the 2nd Commissioner.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd. In this office Endorsement N^o G. 2045- Gm 48-11-3. the Compr to Govt has ^{also} been informed that pending the confirmation of Mr. Suryanarayana Sastri the consequential arrangements in

Serial
No.

the Chief Court will have to be regarded as Sub-pro-tem. This fact may perhaps be intimated to the Chief Court, with the observation that the pay of the following officials in excess of the scale should be treated as personal allowance.

- | | Pay | PA. | Grade |
|--------------------------|------|-----|---------|
| 1. Srinivasulu Naidu, | 44+1 | | 35-3-50 |
| 2. Narasimha Murthi Rao, | 38+2 | | Do. |
| 3. Rajapala Gengar, | 29+1 | | 25-2-35 |
- Pr. II may be consulted

Dec-122 SW(11)
3-11-11 3-11-11

Please put up the file showing the place which each of these men gets accq to the new Scale.

Ch 7/11/11

Subd. Please see Serial N^o 15, 18 and 26 in the nominal roll in the linked file (Cts 7-11. Sls 3).

Dec-122 SW(11)
17-11-11 17/11/11

Put up draft as previously instructed.

28/11/11 Pgs

Flag O.

General Manager
put up draft

17/11/11 Ch 10/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

Draft Subs

ACS-122 SA(11)

20.11.11 21.11.11

2.12.11

by
27/11

27/11

119 To the Registrar, Chief Court No 21692 D. 27-11-11
Enquires that permanent pays the officials were
respectively drawing prior to the introduction of the
new scale and calls for information as to how
it is proposed to start the Srinivasulu Naidu,
Narasimhamurti Rao and Rajagopala Iyengar
in the salaries noted against their names
which do not fit in with any of the stages
of the new scale and as to whether it is pro-
posed to treat the excess as personal allowance

12 From the Registrar, Chief Court No 23433 D.
187
2-12-11.

State that the permanent pays of the four
officials referred to in let No 1732 were Rs.
140, 35, 25 and 20 respectively prior to the 1st July
1911 and that it is proposed that the three offi-
cials other than Rajagopala Iyengar, whose case
has been otherwise disposed of, be started on
Rs 45, 40 and 25 respectively.

Subd for overs
SA-157
5/12/11 SA(11)

The proposals made by the Ch. d.

He S. Place
18/12/11

Serial
No:

on their last letter may be approved as
 a special case. The clerks referred to
 are acting Sub pro tem in the C vacancy.
 Caused by Mr. Srinivasan's deputation
 which is a long term one. To B.R.B. for opinion.

Chis 542

Shr
14/12

14/12/11

SA

Sub2

DM 247

15-12-11

RR

15-12-11

Br III

What is the pay of Mr. C. Srinivasan?

See the Chieft.

16/12/11

Shr
14/12

It is ascertained that his pay in the
 Chief Court is Rs 501-

H.C. 12 SW(III)

21-12-11

Shr
14/12

To B.R.B.

To B.R.B.

SA

21/12/11

Sub2

DM 247

22-12-11

RR

22-12-11

Serial
No.

A. Thea can admit of sub fifteen
affts the same may be brought into line
with the new scale and the amount the
excess over the staff as personal allowance
the office note dated 3-11-11 is correct

In 14

Draft reply sent accordingly

23-12-11

16

8/11

13 To the Registrar, Chief Ct No 21960 d 5-1-12

States that the observation in para 2 of the office No.
9 2064-9-M-108-11-3 d 30-9-11 regarding the consequen-
tial arrangements connected with Mr Surgenaraya
Sastri's transfer being treated as sub-protem has
been communicated to the Comptroller & Treas., there-
fore, there is no objection to the officials whose
names of who are referred to in his No 2333
187 getting the proposed salaries, & adds that the excess over
the next preceding in their ^{grade} stage should be treated as
personal allowance

14 From the Registrar, Chief Comptroller, 2874 220-1-12
215 Requests that the Comptroller, who has
objected to pass the pay bills of the aforesaid

Serial
No.

officials may be instructed to pass their pay, as well as that of other three officials Subs. As regards the pay of Perinivasulu Naidu, Narasimha Murto Rao and Ahobala Sastry. The Comptroller's objection is to the effect that those officials are not entitled to any increase of pay in consequence of the arrangements connected with Mr. Suryanarayana Sastry's transfer being treated as sub-protem. This is at variance with the Financial Assistant Secy's note of 23-12-194 above. What the Comptroller's Office wants is perhaps a Govt. Order sanctioning the intermediate pay to the said officials. Branch It may perhaps be consulted as to whether a G.O. is necessary.

(2) With regard to the other three officials referred to in the paper under disposal, it is not clear why special instructions to the Comptroller to pass their pay is again considered necessary by the Registrar, while increments have already been sanctioned in the G.O. referred to by him, unless it be that these

Serial
No.

are also provided in the arrange-
ment of Mr. Suryanarayana Sastri
Sub for orders.

ACS 122 SA
25.1.12

Please bring up personally.

23/1/12 SA

Subd with a draft order.

SA(11)
17/1/12

28 Aug SA

2/2/12

B
9/1/12

15 To the Registrar, Chief Court and the Comptroller

No. J 2209-10 of 3-2-1912

Regarding the recommendation of the Chief Court in
the case of the three officials Brinwaslu Naidu, Nara-
sima murthi Rao and Alabala Sastri who will be
allowed to continue to draw the pay noted above
their names with effect from 1st July 1911

No: 1732
141

Bangalore, Dated 24th October 1911.

DIARY No. 28-11.00

1011-1012
SECTION: C5
FILE NO. 7-4
RIA No. 9.

From,

V. A. Raja Ratna Mudaliar, Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Officiating Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

S i r ,

Adverting to para 2 of your letter No. G.2044/G.M.48-11-3 dated the 30th September 1911, observing that the arrangements in the Chief Court consequent on Mr. Suryanarayana Sastry's appointment as Personal Assistant to the 2nd Councillor must be regarded as sub pro tem pending his confirmation, I am directed to request that effect may be given to the above observation by the issue of instructions to the Comptroller authorizing him to pass salary to the officials named below at the rate shown against their names pending such confirmation.

Sreenivasalu Naidu.....Rs: 45/-
Narasimhamurti Rao.....Rs: 40/-
Raja Gopala Iyengar.....Rs: 30/-
and Ahobala Sastry.....Rs: 25/-

These, I am to explain, are the salaries which the officials concerned were actually drawing substantively pro tempore in Mr. Suryanarayana Sastry's vacancy for a period of about two years prior to the introduction of the new graded system, and in which they would have been confirmed had that system not been brought into force.

The favour of early orders is requested in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. A. Raja Ratna Mudaliar

R e g i s t r a r .

A.V.

G.R.S. 26]

1000
27/11

Cts File No. 7-11

Serial No, 11

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1692.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(courts)

, the

19

To

The Registrar, Chief
Court of Mysore.

Date of issue 24-11-11

Signed by Mr B. Hiriyannaiah

Sir,

Adverting to your
letter N^o 1732₁₄₁ dated the
24th October 1911, regarding
the pay of the certain officials
named therein, I am
directed to enquire what
permanent pay the
said officials were
respectively getting prior

over.

SUBJECT.

to the introduction of
 the new scale. Information
 may also be furnished as
 to how it is proposed
 to start the marginally
 noted officials on
 - the ~~pay~~ salaries noted against
 their names, which
 do not fit in with
 any of the stages of
 the new scale, and whether
 it is proposed to treat the
 excess as personal
 allowance.

I have re.

St
 For Secy

SN(11)
 29/11/11

Seenivasulu Naidu Rs 45-
 Narasimha Murthy Rs. 40.
 Rajappala Gangar. 30.

List of enclosures,

dfcy
 HCS-122
 20-11-11.

Copied by

Examined by

SN

No. 2333/187

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 29th November 1911.
2nd Secr.

From,

8/12

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar, Esquire,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire,
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.


Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J.1692/Cts.7-11-11 dated 27th Instant, I am directed to state that the permanent pay of the 4 officials referred to in this office letter No. 1732/141 dated 24th October 1911 was Rs. 40/-, 35/-, 25/-, and 20/- respectively prior to the introduction of the new scale with effect from 1st July last. The case of Rajagopaliengar has been otherwise disposed of and the question of his pay may be dropped. As regards the remaining 3 officials it is proposed to start them on Rs. 45/-, 40/- and 25/- respectively in consideration of the fact that they were actually drawing the said amounts for nearly two years in the arrangements made consequent on the deputation of Mr. C.Suryanarayana Sastry. The pay of these officials will be governed by Art: 92 (b) of the Mysore Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



R e g i s t r a r .

N.G.

131

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 1960.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(65)
, the

19 :)

To

The Registrar, Chief
Court of Mysore.

Date of issue 5-1-12.

Sir,

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaiah.

Adverting to your

offer letter N^o 2333 dated
187the 29th November 1911,

requesting that the Compe

may be authorized to

pass to the ^{names} officials the

pay noted against their

names in the margin,

I am directed to state

that the observation

over.

SUBJECT.

1. Sriniwasali Naidu 45/-
2. Narasimha Murthi Rao 40/-
3. Akhala Sastry - 25/-

Contained in para (2) of
this office letter N^o G 2044- ✓

Gm 48-11-3 of 30-9-1911

regarding the consequential
arrangements connected

with Mr. Suryanarayana
Sastri's transfer, being
treated as sub-junctum

has been communicated

to the Comptroller Genl ~~also~~ is
and that therefore there ~~could be~~ no
objection to the ^{said} officials

getting the proposed
salaries. It should

however be noted that in regard to the
~~the stage of the~~ first two


officials, ~~which~~

List of enclosures,

~~the excess over the stage~~ not pending in
the excess over the stage ~~of~~ their file
should be treated as

personal allowance

I have to


J. S. Sastri

Copied by

Examined by

No: $\frac{2874}{215}$ Enes 3

DIARY No. 1865

Bangalore,	
1911-12	
20th January 1911	Courts
2225	FILE No.
7-11	ORDER No.

From,

V. A. Raja Ratna Mudaliar, Esq.

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J.1960/Cts.7-11-13 dated the 5th Instant sanctioning the special rate of salaries to the marginally noted officials of this Court as proposed in this Office letter No. 2333/187 dated the 29th November 1911, I am directed to forward herewith the supplemental bill prepared and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit along with his objection slip (in original) and to request that the Comptroller may be instructed to pass the bill as per special sanction accorded in your letter quoted above.

The salaries of the following officials at the rates noted opposite their names were also sanctioned in Government Proceedings Nos. J.642-3/Cts.7-11-4 and J.1623-4/Cts.7-11-8 dated 21st August and 21st November 1911 respectively.

1. V. Ratnasabhupati Pillai.....Rs: 38/-
2. A. Venkatarayalu Naidu.....Rs: 21/-
3. B. Venkoji Rao.....Rs: 27/-

I am to request that the Comptroller may be instructed to pass the bills for the salaries of these officials also.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. A. Raja Ratna Mudaliar

Registrar.

A.V.

187

1. Srinivasulu Naidu Rs: 45/-
2. Varasimhamurthy Rao Rs: 40/-
3. Shobala Shastri Rs: 25/-

Letter No. J. 1960/Cts.7-11-13 dated, Bangalore, 5th January 1912 from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

-----:O:-----

Adverting to your letter No. 2333/187 dated the 29th November 1911, requesting that the Comptroller may be authorised to pass to the officials the pay noted against their -

1. Srinivasalu Naidu....Rs. 45/-
2. Narasimha Murti Rao..Rs. 40/-
3. Ahobala Sastry.....Rs. 25/-


names in the margin, I am directed to state that the observation contained in

para (2) of this office letter No. G.2044- G.M.48-11-3 dated the 30th September 1911 regarding the consequential arrangements connected with Mr. Suryanarayana Sastry's transfer, being treated as Sub-pro-tem, has been communicated to the Comptroller to Government and that therefore there is no objection to the said officials getting the proposed salaries. It should however be noted that in regard to the first two officials the excess over the next preceeding stage in their grade should be treated as personal allowance.

(Sd)/- S. Hiriyannaiya,

For Offg: Secretary to Government.

"Copy"



R e g i s t r a r .

N.G.

137

65/44a
red W
G.R.S. 28.]

Compd

No 763541 Cts File No. 7-11
47357-11-1 Serial No. 15

7-1-3-12

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

()

READ--

Correspondence ending

, the

19

with letter N²⁸⁷⁴₂₁₅ dated

the 20th January 1912 from

the Registrar, Chief Court,

Date of issue 3-2-12

Signed by Mr S. H. Virgamaaya

stating that Correspondence

ORDER THEREON: No.

to upon the introduction of

the graded system in his

office, the marginally noted

officials who were actually

drawing the salaries

over

SUBJECT.

noted against their names
 substantively, pro-tempore,
 for a period of ^{about} two years,
 in the vacancy caused by
 the transfer of Mr Suryanarayana
 Sastri as Personal Assistant
 to the Second Councillor,
 and requesting sanction
 for the continuance of the
 same salaries to the said
 officials respectively.

Srinivasulu Naidu Rs. 45/-
 Narasimha Chitti Rao Rs. 40/-
 Ahobala Sastri Rs. 25/-

Order thereon No. 2205-10/15-7-11-55 93-2-12

In the circumstances
 of the case, the Govt are
 pleased to sanction the
 recommendation of the
 Chief Court in the case
 of the three officials
 referred to above, who
 will be allowed ^{to continue} to draw
 the pay ^{res} noted against
 their names with effect
 date of the introduction of the new scale, viz.
 from the 1st July 1911.

copied by
 2-2

SW

For Secy

To The Registrar Chief Court
 & Comptroller to Govt.